

January 2021 Individual Report for Richard Kolenda - PAA-642-10131:Regional Planning

Response Count

| Raters | Students |
|----------------|----------|
| Responded | 5 |
| Invited | 8 |
| Response Ratio | 62.5% |
| Opted-out | 0 |

THE COURSE

| | Mean | Median | Mode | Min | Max | SD | Freq(N/A) | % (N/A) |
|--|------|--------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---------|
| The objectives of this course were clear. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The course has satisfied the objectives. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The course requirements and grading system were made clear from the beginning. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Exams and/or projects were fair and reflected course content. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| I would recommend this course to other students. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |

THE INSTRUCTOR - Richard Kolenda

| | Mean | Median | Mode | Min | Max | SD | Freq(N/A) | % (N/A) |
|---|------|--------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---------|
| The instructor was well-prepared and organized. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor was a clear and effective communicator. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor was able to stimulate interest in the subject. | 4.60 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.55 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor encouraged questions, participation, and discussion. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor is knowledgeable in the subject area. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The work was evenly distributed over the course of the semester; material covered at a good pace. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor responded to questions promptly and fully, either by e-mail or by other means. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor displayed respect for each student. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor tried to make the class understand the content and how to navigate the course. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| I would recommend this instructor to others. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00% |

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS

| | Mean | Median | Mode | Min | Max | SD | Freq(N/A) | % (N/A) |
|--|------|--------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---------|
| The text was effective in helping me understand the course. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| The instructor made effective use of other instructional material(s) and/or technology such as Blackboard. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Readings, links and other assignments added to my understanding of the course. | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00% |

What element(s) did you find most valuable about Richard Kolenda's teaching?

| Comments |
|---|
| Professor was clear about what needed to be completed along with the respective deadlines for each assignment. |
| Dr. Kolenda's assignments were clearly structured around the theme for this class, which were stimulating, engaging and interesting. I thoroughly enjoyed his class. |
| (1) The syllabus is well structured. (2) The reading material utilized reinforced the course objectives. (2) Great use of communication tools to assist as needed. |
| I particularly liked how Professor Kolenda incorporated learning about Robert Moses with regards to regional planning. Before taking this class Robert Moses was a name I was slightly familiar with, but after taking this class I truly have a great understanding for the impact that he had on developing NYC as it is today. I also like how Professor Kolenda conducts his weekly discussions with regards to the course material. I would recommend this class or any class Professor Kolenda teaches. |
| For this intercession, his clear directions and having a completed syllabus with required assignments published was the most valuable. It allowed students to work ahead. |

What element(s) did you find least valuable about Richard Kolenda's teaching?

| Comments |
|---|
| None. |
| N/A |
| None at this time. |
| N/A |
| Because this was an intercession course, I don't think there were any least valuable elements. There were time constraints and Dr. Kolenda worked well to stay within those boundaries. |

Do you have anything else you wish to write regarding any of the statements in the previous sections? If so please provide your comments.

| Comments |
|--|
| Dr. Kolenda is a phenomenal instructor! |
| No. This class flew by but I enjoyed taking Dr. Kolenda's class. As mentioned before, he's engaging, motivating and communicative. |
| No |
| N/A |

What element(s) did you find most valuable about this course?

| Comments |
|--|
| The course covered a large amount of material but the professor set the class up in a way that the 4-week schedule format was certainly manageable. |
| Not applicable. |
| Reading material and videos reinforced topics. |
| The course itself is most valuable especially for those seeking to obtain work in the public sector. It provides insight on things that citizens might not consider in their day to day interaction with local government. |

What element(s) did you find least valuable about this course?

Comments

None.

N/A

None

N/A

I think the least valuable element about this course is that it was offered as an intercession course. I believe there is so much more than can be learned about regional planning and we were scratched the surface.

Was there anything left off this form about which you would like to comment? If so, use the space below.

Comments

No.

I had to take this class for my MPA degree as the other classes were dropped for the Winter session.
Thanks for a great semester and hope to have another class with you.

No.

N/A

N/A